

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/678,915	10/04/2000	Ira A. Kronenberg	KRONA01/00	7233		
27988	7590 07/24/2003					
JOSEPH T. REGARD, LTD PLC			EXAMINER			
PO DRAWEI MADISONV	R 429 ILLE, LA 70447-0429		ZEENDER, F	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3627			
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	<b>,</b>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678,915	KR	ONENBERG E	ΓAL.			
		Examiner	Art	Unit				
		Ryan Zeender	362					
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication appea	ers on the cover s	heet with the corre	spondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (In Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If the period for reply specified above is lesten in In No period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period in the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(ate of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply will e maximum statutory period will beriod for reply will, by statute, ca three months after the mailing da	a). In no event, howeve thin the statutory minim apply and will expire SI use the application to b	r, may a reply be timely fil um of thirty (30) days will l ( (6) MONTHS from the m ecome ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely ailing date of this co U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>19 Jur</u>	<u>ne 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pend	ing in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s)		rom consideratio	n					
5) Claim(s) is/are allo		om consideratio						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are reject								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are obje								
8) Claim(s) are subject		lection requirem	ent.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{04}$	October 2000 is/are: a)	l⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing corr				by the Examine	r.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an	•	iii lei .						
13) Acknowledgment is made		riority under 35 l	ISC & 119(a)_(d)	or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐		noncy under 55 c	7.0.0. § 110(a)-(d)	OI (I).				
,,		ave been receiv	ed.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the 15) Acknowledgment is made of	foreign language provis	sional application	has been receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	22330	, ,						
1) Photice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F		5) 🔲 N	iterview Summary (PTC otice of Informal Patent ther:		•			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,915

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 12-22 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The additional species requirement in paper number 5 has been withdrawn. Therefore, claims 1-11 have been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention, and claims 12-22 are pending in the application.

# Claim Objections

Claims 12-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 12, line 5, the terminology, "separate transmitter" lacks antecedent basis; and it is suggested the language be changed to —a separate transmitter—. In claim 14, line 5, the language, "each separate transmitter", lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beard et al. '800.

Beard et al. disclose a communication system between a vending machine, service delivery vehicle, and a central management location (see, for example, columns 1 and 2)

Page 3

Beard et al. lack the teaching of specific steps and details associated with the communication and vending servicing system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Beard et al. to incorporate specific details and steps, that are well known in the communications and vending arts, into the system of Beard, in order to achieve a desired outcome or result.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

7/11/03

F. Zeender

Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627

July 21, 2003